GAP BODHI TARU
A GLOBAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES
(ISSN – 2581-5857)
Impact Factor: SJIF - 5.551, IIFS - 5.125
Globally peer-reviewed and open access journal.

HUMAN-CENTERED AI IN PSYCHOLOGY: ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS, APPLICATIONS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Dr Hithakshi B, Dr. Jacksan Judan Fernandes

Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, St Agnes College (Autonomous)
Phone: 8971958574, Email: hithakshi@stagnescollege.edu.in

Director: www.jacksanfernandes.com, Pancharatna Building, BS-1, Margao-Goa. India
Phone: 9689983542, Email: jacksanfernandes@gmail.com

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming psychological research and practice, offering innovative solutions for diagnosis, therapy, and mental health support. However, the effectiveness and ethical implications of AI-driven psychological interventions depend on a human-centered approach. Human-centered AI (HCAI) in psychology focuses on designing AI systems that enhance human well-being, empower psychologists, and prioritize ethical considerations such as privacy, fairness, and transparency.

HCAI integrates AI-driven tools with human expertise to provide more personalized and adaptive psychological care. Machine learning models can analyze vast datasets, identifying patterns in behavior, cognition, and emotions that might be difficult for humans to detect. AI-powered chatbots and virtual therapists, trained with natural language processing (NLP), assist in delivering cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and other interventions, improving accessibility to mental health care. However, these AI systems must be designed to recognize their limitations, ensuring human oversight in complex psychological assessments and interventions.

One of the core challenges of HCAI in psychology is ethical AI deployment. AI systems can inherit biases from training data, potentially leading to discriminatory outcomes. Additionally, concerns regarding data privacy and confidentiality must be addressed to maintain trust in AI-driven psychological tools. Transparency in AI decision-making is crucial, requiring explainable AI (XAI) models that allow psychologists and patients to understand the reasoning behind AI-generated insights.

Despite these challenges, HCAI presents significant opportunities for mental health care. AI can assist in early detection of psychological disorders through speech and facial expression analysis, enabling timely intervention. AI-driven mobile applications offer self-help tools for stress management and emotional well-being. Moreover, AI-enhanced research methodologies enable psychologists to study human behavior at an unprecedented scale, leading to deeper insights into mental health trends and interventions.

For HCAI to be successful in psychology, interdisciplinary collaboration between AI researchers, psychologists, ethicists, and policymakers is essential. AI should augment human judgment rather than replace it, ensuring psychological care remains empathetic, ethical, and effective. Future advancements in HCAI will require continuous refinement, prioritizing human dignity, inclusivity, and psychological well-being while leveraging AI's potential to revolutionize mental health care.

Keywords: *Human-centered AI, psychology, mental health, ethics, explainable AI, AI in therapy, cognitive behavioral therapy, artificial intelligence.*

The rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI) has significantly influenced various fields, including psychology. AI applications in psychology range from automated mental health assessments to AI-driven therapy chatbots (Benyon & Wilkinson, 2000). These innovations offer promising benefits such as increased efficiency, wider accessibility, and cost-effectiveness. However, they also pose challenges related to ethics, human connection, and accountability. A human-centered AI (HCAI) approach is crucial in ensuring that AI systems are designed to augment human psychologists rather than replace them, prioritizing the needs and well-being of individuals seeking mental health support.

AI has the potential to revolutionize psychological practice by enhancing assessment methods, streamlining therapeutic interventions, and broadening mental health accessibility (Hoffman et al, 2001). The integration of AI-powered tools in psychology has enabled early detection of mental health conditions, improved therapeutic support, and facilitated large-scale psychological research. For instance, machine learning models can analyze speech patterns, facial expressions, and online behavior to detect early signs of conditions such as depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia Neuhauser et al, 2013). Additionally, AI-driven chatbots provide real-time, anonymous support, allowing individuals to access psychological assistance without the stigma associated with traditional therapy.

Despite these advantages, ethical concerns remain a critical challenge. AI relies on vast amounts of personal data to function effectively, raising concerns about data security and privacy. Individuals may hesitate to share sensitive psychological information if they are uncertain about how their data is stored or used (Leavy, 2018). Furthermore, AI lacks the emotional intelligence and cultural sensitivity that human psychologists possess, limiting its ability to provide deeply empathetic and contextually nuanced care. While AI can simulate therapeutic conversations, it cannot truly understand the complex emotions, backgrounds, and personal histories that shape a person's mental health journey.

Another key issue is the potential over-reliance on AI-driven mental health solutions. While AI can support initial assessments and offer self-help resources, it should not replace human therapists. Overdependence on AI could lead individuals to self-diagnose incorrectly or delay seeking professional help when necessary. It is crucial that AI remains a supportive tool rather than a substitute for human interaction, as the therapeutic relationship between a psychologist and client is fundamental to effective mental health care (Lisetti et al, 2004).

A human-centered AI (HCAI) approach emphasizes collaboration between AI and human expertise. This means AI should assist psychologists by providing insights, streamlining administrative tasks, and improving efficiency, allowing professionals to focus on personalized patient care. Ethical AI frameworks should be established to ensure transparency, fairness, and accountability in AI-driven psychological interventions. Policymakers, AI developers, and mental health professionals must work together to create regulations that protect user privacy, promote inclusivity, and maintain the integrity of psychological care (Hoffman et al, 2004). Looking forward, AI's role in psychology will continue to expand, with advancements in emotional recognition, personalized therapy recommendations, and integration with traditional mental health services. However, to fully harness AI's potential while addressing its risks, ethical considerations and human-centered principles must guide its development. By prioritizing empathy, transparency, and collaboration, AI can serve as a valuable tool in enhancing mental health care while preserving the human connection essential for psychological well-being.

One of the most notable applications of AI in psychology is the use of AI-driven chatbots and virtual therapists. Platforms such as Woebot, Wysa, and Replika employ natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning algorithms to engage users in therapeutic conversations (Kling, 1977). These tools can provide immediate support, especially for individuals who might hesitate to seek professional help due to stigma or accessibility issues. Additionally, AI is being used for predictive analytics in mental health, enabling early detection of conditions such as depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) by analyzing speech patterns, facial expressions, and digital footprints.

Despite these advancements, ethical concerns remain at the forefront. AI systems often rely on large datasets to function effectively, raising concerns about data privacy and security (Thaler & Sunstein, 2009). Users may be reluctant to share sensitive information if they are unsure how it is stored and utilized. Additionally, AI lacks the empathy and deep contextual understanding that human psychologists bring to therapy. While AI can simulate therapeutic conversations, it cannot genuinely comprehend the complexities of human emotions, cultural nuances, and personal experiences. There is also the risk of over-reliance on AI tools, which might lead to users neglecting the need for human intervention when necessary (Winograd, 2006).

A human-centered AI (HCAI) approach in psychology focuses on integrating AI with human expertise rather than substituting it. This means designing AI systems that enhance psychologist's capabilities, assist in data analysis, and streamline administrative tasks, allowing professionals to dedicate more time to direct patient care (Bagozzi, 2007). Ethical AI frameworks should be developed to ensure transparency, accountability, and fairness in AI-driven mental health interventions. Policymakers, AI developers, and mental health professionals must collaborate to establish guidelines that balance technological advancements with human ethics and values.

Looking ahead, AI's role in psychology will likely continue expanding. Future developments may include more sophisticated AI models capable of real-time emotional recognition, personalized therapy recommendations, and seamless integration with traditional mental health services. However, to fully realize AI's potential while minimizing risks, a thoughtful, ethical, and human-centric approach must be at the core of its evolution in psychology (Baxter and Sommerville, 2011). By prioritizing human needs and ethical considerations, AI can serve as a valuable tool in enhancing mental health care rather than undermining the human connection that is fundamental to psychological well-being.

The Foundations of Human-Centered AI built on three key principles:

1) Enhancement of Human Abilities – AI should support and augment human expertise rather than replace it. AI can streamline administrative tasks, provide data-driven insights, and assist in diagnosing and monitoring mental health conditions, allowing professionals to focus on patient interaction and treatment planning (Kao and Huang, 2023). By complementing human expertise, AI enhances efficiency and accessibility in psychological services without diminishing the essential human touch. AI-driven tools such as predictive analytics and chatbots can help professionals track patient progress, detect early warning signs, and provide preliminary support, ensuring timely intervention when needed.

2) Transparency and Explainability – AI models should be interpretable, ensuring psychologists and patients understand AI-generated insights. Transparency in AI decision-making fosters trust and reliability (Käpplinger and Lichte, 2020). When mental health professionals and patients comprehend how AI reaches conclusions, they can make informed decisions about treatment options. Developing AI systems with clear, explainable outputs

ensures that users can verify recommendations rather than relying on opaque algorithms, reducing the risk of misinterpretation and misuse. This is particularly important in mental health, where nuanced human emotions and behaviors cannot always be accurately captured by algorithms alone. Implementing user-friendly interfaces and explanatory tools can help bridge the gap between AI-driven insights and human understanding.

3) Ethical Considerations – AI systems must be designed to prevent biases, uphold privacy, and prioritize user well-being (Kosinski et al, 2014). Ensuring fairness in AI-driven mental health assessments is critical to preventing biases that may disproportionately affect certain populations. Additionally, safeguarding user data through stringent security measures and ethical guidelines protects sensitive personal information. AI should adhere to ethical standards that promote inclusivity, confidentiality, and a patient-first approach. Regular audits and interdisciplinary oversight can help identify and mitigate ethical risks associated with AI applications in psychology. Collaboration between AI developers, mental health professionals, and policymakers is crucial to establishing frameworks that ensure AI technologies are used responsibly and ethically.

HCAI encourages the development of AI systems that function as assistive tools, integrating human oversight and ethical frameworks to guide their operation (Kurni et al, 2023). By embedding human values into AI design, mental health professionals can leverage technology to enhance treatment efficacy, improve accessibility, and maintain ethical integrity. Establishing robust ethical guidelines, continuous monitoring, and interdisciplinary collaboration will be essential in ensuring AI remains a supportive force in psychological practice. As AI continues to evolve, ongoing dialogue between technology experts and mental health professionals will be necessary to refine these systems, ensuring they align with patient needs while upholding ethical and professional standards (McLean et al, 2021).

AI applications in psychology have revolutionized mental health assessment, therapy, and research. Some key areas include:

AI in Mental Health Assessment- Machine learning models can analyze patient data, including speech, facial expressions, and written text, to detect psychological conditions such as depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia (Merriam and Bierema 2013). AI-based tools like speech pattern analysis and facial emotion recognition assist in diagnosing mental disorders early, allowing timely intervention. Additionally, wearable technology and smartphone applications can track physiological indicators such as heart rate variability and sleep patterns, further aiding in the detection of mental health concerns.

AI-powered diagnostic tools can also help bridge the gap in mental health care accessibility, particularly in underserved regions where human specialists are scarce (Raftopoulos and Hamari, 2023). By automating preliminary assessments, AI enables professionals to allocate resources more effectively and focus on complex cases requiring human expertise. However, ensuring the accuracy and fairness of AI-driven assessments remains a challenge, necessitating ongoing improvements in data quality and algorithmic transparency.

AI in Therapy and Treatment

AI-driven therapy tools, including chatbots like Woebot, Wysa, and Replika, provide immediate support for individuals experiencing distress (Stephanidis et al, 2019). These chatbots utilize natural language processing (NLP) to engage users in therapeutic conversations based on cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) principles. They serve as accessible, cost-effective mental health resources, particularly beneficial for individuals hesitant to seek professional help due to stigma or logistical barriers. Beyond chatbots, AI is also being integrated into virtual reality (VR) exposure therapy for conditions like PTSD and phobias. AI-powered VR environments can simulate controlled scenarios tailored to individual therapy needs, helping patients gradually confront and manage their fears. Furthermore, AI-driven personalized treatment plans analyze vast amounts of patient data to recommend tailored interventions, optimizing therapeutic outcomes.

AI-Powered Chatbots and Virtual Therapists

AI-powered chatbots, such as Woebot and Wysa, use natural language processing (NLP) to provide real-time psychological support. These chatbot deliver Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) technique, offer 24/7 support, improving accessibility, reduce stigma by providing anonymous interaction (Krishnan et al, 2022). Provide guided meditation and relaxation exercises to alleviate stress and anxiety. Offer mood tracking and journaling features to help users reflect on their emotional states over time.

However, chatbots have limitations, such as a lack of deep emotional understanding and the inability to handle crisis situations effectively. While they serve as valuable supplementary tools, they are not substitutes for professional intervention, particularly in cases requiring human empathy and complex therapeutic approaches (Xu et al, 2023).

AI in Personalized Therapy and Treatment Plans

AI can tailor therapy based on individual needs by analyzing: Patient progress over time, behavioral and emotional patterns, response to past therapy sessions, engagement with digital therapeutic interventions.

AI-driven recommendation systems can suggest interventions, self-help exercises, and therapy modifications, optimizing treatment for each patient. By continuously learning from user interactions, AI can adapt treatment plans dynamically, providing personalized guidance that aligns with the user's evolving needs (Lewandowski and Womser-Hacker, 2023). Integrating AI with teletherapy platforms also allows mental health professionals to leverage data-driven insights to refine their therapeutic approaches.

AI in Suicide Prevention and Crisis Intervention

AI models can detect suicidal ideation through social media analysis, speech recognition, and behavioral tracking. Platforms like Crisis Text Line use AI to prioritize messages from individuals at higher risk of suicide, ensuring immediate human intervention.

Furthermore, AI-driven algorithms can analyze online content, including social media posts and search queries, to identify patterns indicative of distress. Mental health organizations and social media platforms can collaborate to implement proactive outreach mechanisms, directing at-risk individuals to appropriate crisis support services. However, the ethical implications of AI-based monitoring, particularly concerning privacy and consent, necessitate careful consideration.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing psychological research by offering innovative tools and techniques to explore human cognition, behavior, and emotional states in ways that were previously unimaginable (Kuhlen, 2004). The intersection of AI and psychology is paving the way for more efficient research methodologies, enabling researchers to derive insights from vast datasets and simulate complex cognitive processes, ultimately enriching our understanding of the human mind.

One of the primary contributions AI makes to psychological research is its ability to analyze large-scale psychological data, especially from online interactions. The digital age has created a wealth of data from social media, forums, and online communities, providing an unparalleled opportunity for researchers to study human behavior on a massive scale. AI can process and analyze this data far more efficiently than traditional methods, allowing researchers to detect patterns in human behavior, emotional expression, and social interactions. For instance, sentiment analysis, powered by machine learning algorithms, can be used to examine individual's emotions expressed in text or speech, providing valuable insights into emotional well-being and mental health trends across various populations.

Another area where AI is making an impact is in detecting mental health trends (Karwowski and Soares, 2021). With its ability to process large amounts of data, AI can identify emerging mental health concerns in specific communities or demographic groups. For example, AI-powered models can track the prevalence of anxiety, depression, or other mental health issues across time and geography by analyzing health records, social media posts, or even conversations with mental health professionals. This ability to detect trends enables timely interventions and the formulation of public health strategies to address these concerns.

AI also plays a key role in automating survey analysis and sentiment classification, making research processes more efficient and scalable. Traditionally, analyzing survey data required manual coding, which could be time-consuming and prone to human error. AI models, particularly those trained on natural language processing (NLP) techniques, can automatically classify responses and identify key themes or emotional tones in large datasets. This significantly accelerates data analysis and allows researchers to focus more on the interpretation of results rather than the mechanical aspects of data processing.

Moreover, AI can help identify correlations between environmental factors and mental health outcomes (Choung and Ross, 2023). For example, AI algorithms can analyze vast amounts of data from various sources, such as weather patterns, air quality, or socioeconomic indicators, and detect their relationship with psychological conditions like depression or stress. By uncovering these correlations, researchers can gain deeper insights into the external factors that influence mental health and well-being, ultimately informing better prevention and intervention strategies.

Perhaps one of the most exciting potentials of AI in psychological research is its ability to facilitate the development of novel psychological theories based on data-driven insights. Traditional psychological research often relies on small sample sizes and subjective interpretations. AI, by contrast, can process vast amounts of data from diverse sources, offering a more objective and comprehensive view of human cognition and behavior. This data-driven approach has the potential to lead to new theories and models that can reshape our understanding of the mind and mental health.

AI can also simulate cognitive processes, which allows researchers to test psychological hypotheses more efficiently. Cognitive models powered by AI can simulate complex mental functions like memory, perception, and decision-making (Bostrom and Yudkowsky, 2013). This provides researchers with the opportunity to experiment with different variables and test hypotheses in controlled virtual environments. By observing how these simulated cognitive processes behave, researchers can refine their theories and develop more accurate models of human psychology.

As AI continues to evolve, its role in psychological research, assessment, and intervention will expand even further. In clinical settings, AI-driven tools could assist in diagnosing mental health conditions, developing personalized treatment plans, and monitoring patient progress in real-time. AI-powered chatbots or virtual therapists could offer accessible and immediate support to individuals seeking mental health assistance, reducing the burden on mental health professionals.

However, to fully realize the benefits of AI in psychological research, it is essential to adopt a human-centered AI approach that prioritizes ethical considerations (Capel and Brereton, 2023). The use of AI must be transparent, ensuring that its decision-making processes are understandable and accountable. Moreover, protecting individual's privacy and ensuring that AI systems are free from biases are critical steps to ensure that AI serves the well-being of individuals and communities. AI has the potential to transform psychological research by offering advanced tools for analyzing data, detecting trends, and developing new theories. By integrating AI with

neuroscience and behavioral sciences, researchers can gain deeper insights into the complexities of human cognition and emotion. As AI evolves, it will become an increasingly valuable tool in both psychological research and mental health interventions, provided that ethical considerations are prioritized to protect those it aims to help.

Ethical Considerations in Human-Centered AI despite its potential, AI in psychology raises significant ethical challenges:

Bias in AI Algorithms - AI systems inherit biases from training data, leading to inaccurate assessments or discriminatory outcomes. For example: Facial recognition AI may perform poorly on diverse populations (Anderson and Rivera-Vargas, 2020). Chatbots trained on biased text data may reinforce stereotypes. Mitigating bias requires diverse datasets and continuous algorithm auditing.

Privacy and Data Security - AI-driven mental health tools rely on sensitive patient data. Key concerns include: Unauthorized access to psychological records. Risk of data breaches. Misuse of AI-generated psychological insights by employers or insurers. Ensuring data encryption, informed consent, and strict privacy policies is crucial.

Over-Reliance on AI in Therapy - AI lacks human intuition and emotional intelligence, which are critical in psychotherapy (Arbeiter, 2023). Over-reliance on AI could reduce human interaction in therapy, lead to misdiagnoses without human oversight. AI should serve as a complementary tool, not a substitute for trained psychologists.

Transparency and Explainability - Patients and psychologists must understand how AI reaches conclusions. Explainable AI (XAI) ensures transparent AI decision-making, confidence in AI-driven assessments and avoidance of "black box" AI models that lack interpretability.

Future Directions and Recommendations is to ensure HCAI's success in psychology, several advancements are needed:

Ethical AI Development - Developers must integrate fairness-aware algorithms and ethical AI frameworks into psychological applications. Interdisciplinary collaboration between AI researchers, psychologists, and ethicists is essential (Norman, 2017).

AI-Human Collaboration - The optimal model for AI in psychology involves collaborative intelligence, where AI provides insights while human psychologists offer expertise in interpretation and therapy. AI should remain a decision-support tool rather than an independent decision-maker (Waller et al, 2015).

Regulatory Policies - Governments and institutions must establish regulations to define ethical AI deployment in mental health, standardize AI-driven psychological tools and ensure AI remains patient-centric and non-exploitative.

Advancing AI's Emotional Intelligence - Current AI lacks true emotional comprehension. Future research should focus on AI with enhanced empathy, mimicking human understanding, hybrid AI-human therapy models, where AI assists psychologists rather than replaces them.

AI for Global Mental Health Access - AI can bridge the mental health treatment gap, especially in low-resource areas. AI-powered chatbots and teletherapy platforms can provide mental health support where psychologists are scarce.

Human-centered Artificial Intelligence (HCAI) in psychology is a promising development with the potential to revolutionize mental health care and psychological practice. By leveraging AI's advanced capabilities, such as data analysis, pattern recognition, and simulation of cognitive processes, HCAI can provide valuable tools for diagnosis, therapy, and support, making mental health care more accessible, personalized, and efficient. However, as AI systems are integrated into the realm of psychology, it is crucial to address ethical concerns to ensure that these systems complement human expertise and preserve the essential human connection that is vital for effective mental well-being care (Pee et al, 2019).

One of the most transformative potentials of HCAI in psychology is the development of advanced diagnostic tools. AI can assist clinicians by analyzing large datasets of psychological assessments, medical records, and even behavioral data to detect patterns that may otherwise go unnoticed. These tools can improve diagnostic accuracy, speed, and consistency. For example, AI can be used to analyze speech patterns or facial expressions in real-time, identifying signs of depression, anxiety, or other mental health conditions. This could lead to earlier detection and more precise interventions, allowing individuals to receive treatment before issues escalate.

In addition to diagnostics, AI can also contribute significantly to personalized therapy. Machine learning algorithms can tailor treatment plans by analyzing individual responses to various therapeutic techniques, adapting to the patient's needs over time. AI-powered tools like chatbots or virtual therapists could provide accessible support for individuals experiencing mental health challenges, offering resources, exercises, and guidance in between face-to-face therapy sessions. These tools could function as part of a broader mental health ecosystem, allowing patients to have 24/7 access to supportive interventions. The ability to provide personalized, real-time adjustments to therapeutic techniques makes AI a powerful ally in providing scalable mental health care (Abdul, et al, 2018)

Furthermore, AI could play a pivotal role in scaling mental health support to underserved or remote populations. With the shortage of mental health professionals in many regions, AI-powered tools can serve as a bridge, offering support to individuals who might not otherwise have access to traditional therapy. For example, virtual

therapists, supported by AI, can provide immediate help, guiding users through cognitive-behavioral exercises or offering coping strategies for managing stress and anxiety. This is particularly beneficial in areas with limited access to trained professionals or in situations where individuals may feel uncomfortable seeking in-person care (Bannon, 2011).

However, while the potential benefits of HCAI are vast, it is crucial to consider the ethical challenges it poses. Bias is a significant concern in AI systems, as algorithms trained on biased datasets may unintentionally perpetuate stereotypes or misdiagnose individuals from underrepresented groups. For example, AI tools trained primarily on data from a specific demographic may struggle to accurately assess individuals from different cultural or socio-economic backgrounds. Ensuring that AI systems are trained on diverse, representative data and continually audited for fairness is essential to mitigating these risks.

Privacy is another concern. Mental health data is sensitive, and ensuring that AI systems protect patient privacy is paramount. AI systems must be designed with strong data protection protocols, and patients must have clear consent processes regarding how their data is used. Transparency in how AI models function, the data they use, and the outcomes they produce is essential to build trust and ensure that AI in psychology is used ethically (Abascal & Nicolle, 2005).

A key limitation of AI in mental health is its inability to fully replicate the emotional intelligence and empathy that human therapists bring to the therapeutic relationship. While AI can process and analyze data, it cannot provide the emotional depth, understanding, and compassion that a human clinician offers. This human connection is often crucial to the success of therapy. AI in psychology should not aim to replace human expertise but rather to enhance it by providing tools that support clinicians, improve efficiency, and help manage the complexities of mental health care.

To maximize the benefits of HCAI while minimizing risks, human oversight is essential. AI should be viewed as a complement to, rather than a replacement for, human professionals. Clinicians should remain involved in decision-making processes, ensuring that AI is used to support, not supplant, human judgment. The development of transparent, interpretable AI models will enable clinicians to understand how AI tools arrive at their recommendations, ensuring that these systems are used appropriately and ethically.

Ultimately, the future of AI in psychology lies in collaboration. AI has the potential to enhance psychological practice by providing advanced diagnostic tools, personalized interventions, and scalable support systems. However, the effectiveness of HCAI will depend on the integration of human expertise, ethical considerations, and a commitment to maintaining the human connection that is central to mental health care. By prioritizing ethical AI development, transparency, and oversight, HCAI can be harnessed to revolutionize psychological care while preserving the core values of empathy, understanding, and trust in the therapeutic relationship.

REFERENCES

[1] Abascal, J., & Nicolle, C. (2005). Moving towards inclusive design guidelines for socially and ethically aware HCI. Interacting with Computers, 17(5), 484-505. doi:10.1016/j.intcom.2005.03.002

[2] Abdul, A., Vermeulen, J., Wang, D., Lim, B. Y., & Kankanhalli, M. (2018). Trends and Trajectories for Explainable, Accountable and Intelligible Systems: An HCI Research Agenda. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Montreal QC, Canada. https://doi-org.stanford.idm.oclc.org/10.1145/3173574.3174156

[3] Anderson, T., and Rivera-Vargas, P. (2020). A critical look at educational technology from a distance education perspective. Digital Educ. Rev. 37, 208–229. doi: 10.1344/der.2020.37.208-229

[4] Arbeiter, J. (2023). Acting between professional rules and financial resources in the field of work-oriented basic education. Zeitschrift für Weiterbildungsforschung 46, 87–102. doi: 10.1007/s40955-023-00240-2

[5] Bannon, L. (2011). Reimagining HCI: toward a more human-centered perspective. interactions, 18(4), 50-57. doi:10.1145/1978822.1978833

[6] Bagozzi, R. P. (2007). The legacy of the technology acceptance model and a proposal for a paradigm shift. J. Assoc. Inf. Syst. 8, 244–254. doi: 10.17705/1jais.00122

[7] Baxter, G., and Sommerville, I. (2011). Socio-technical systems: from design methods to systems engineering. Interacting Comput. 23, 4–17. doi: 10.1016/j.intcom.2010.07.003

[8] Benyon, D., Crerer, A., & Wilkinson, S. (2000). Individual Differences and Inclusive Design. In C. Stephanidis (Ed.), User Interfaces for All: Concepts, Methods, and Tools. Mahwah: CRC Press.

[9] Bostrom, N., and Yudkowsky, E. (2013). "The ethics of artificial intelligence," in Cambridge Handbook of Artificial Intelligence, eds. K. Frankish, M. Willian, and W. M. Ramsey (Cambridge: University Press), 316–334.

[10] Capel, T., and Brereton, M. (2023). "What is human-centered about human-centered AI? A map of the research landscape," in Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI '23 (New York, NY: Association for Computing Machinery), 1–23. doi: 10.1145/3544548.3580959

[11] Choung, H., David, P., and Ross, A. (2023). Trust in AI and its role in the acceptance of AI technologies. Int. J. Hum. Comput. Int. 39, 1727–1739. doi: 10.1080/10447318.2022.2050543

[12] Hoffman, R. R., Hayes, P. J., & Ford, K. M. (2001). Human-centered computing: thinking in and out of the box. IEEE Intelligent Systems, 16(5), 76-78. doi:10.1109/MIS.2001.956085
[13] Hoffman, R. R., Roesler, A., & Moon, B. M. (2004). What is design in the context of human-centered computing? IEEE Intelligent Systems, 19(4), 89-95. doi:10.1109/MIS.2004.36
[14] Kao, W.-K., and Huang, Y.-S. (2023). Service robots in full-and limited-service restaurants: extending technology acceptance model. J. Hosp. Tour. Manag. 54, 10–21. doi: 10.1016/j.jhtm.2022.11.006
[15] Käpplinger, B., and Lichte, N. (2020). The lockdown of physical co-operation touches the heart of adult education: a delphi study on immediate and expected effects of COVID-19. Int. Rev. Educ. 66, 777–795. doi: 10.1007/s11159-020-09871-w
[16] Kling, R. (1977). The Organizational Context of User-Centered Software Designs. MIS Quarterly, 1(4), 41-52. doi:10.2307/249021
[17] Karwowski, W., Szopa, A., and Soares, M. (2021). Handbook of Standards and Guidelines in Human Factors and Ergonomics. Boca Raton, WA: CRC Press.
[18] Kosinski, M., Bachrach, Y., Kohli, P., Stillwell, D., and Graepel, T. (2014). Manifestations of user personality in website choice and behaviour on online social networks. Mach. Learn. 95, 357–380. doi: 10.1007/s10994-013-5415-y
[19] Krishnan, C., Gupta, A., Gupta, A., and Singh, G. (2022). "Impact of artificial intelligence-based chatbots on customer engagement and business growth," in Deep Learning for Social Media Data Analytics Studies in Big Data., eds. T.-P. Hong, L. Serrano-Estrada, A. Saxena, and A. Biswas (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 195–210.
[20] Kuhlen, R. (2004). Information Grundlagen der praktischen Information und Dokumentation, 5th Edn. München: Saur, 3–20.
[21] Kurni, M., Mohammed, M. S., and Srinivasa, K. G. (2023). "AI-enabled gamification in education," in A Beginner's Guide to Introduce Artificial Intelligence in Teaching and Learning, eds. M. Kurni, M. S. Mohammed, and S. K G (Cham: Springer), 105–114.
[22] Lewandowski, D., and Womser-Hacker, C. (2023). "D 6 information seeking behaviour," in Grundlagen der Informationswissenschaft, 7th Edn, eds. R. Kuhlen, D. Lewandowski, W. Semar, and C. Womser-Hacker (Berlin: De Gruyter Saur), 553–566.
[23] Leavy, S. (2018). Gender bias in artificial intelligence: the need for diversity and gender theory in machine learning. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Gender Equality in Software Engineering, Gothenburg, Sweden. https://doi. org/10.1145/3195570.3195580
[24] Lisetti, C. L., Brown, S. M., Alvarez, K., & Marpaung, A. H. (2004). A social informatics approach to human-robot interaction with a service social robot. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews), 34(2), 195-209. doi:10.1109/TSMCC.2004.826278
[25] McLean, S., Read, G. J., Thompson, J., Baber, C., Stanton, N. A., and Salmon, P. M. (2021). The risks associated with artificial general intelligence: a systematic review. J. Exp. Theor. Artif. Int. 24, 1–15. doi: 10.1080/0952813X.2021.1964003
[26] Merriam, S. B., and Bierema, L. L. (2013). Adult Learning: Linking Theory and Practice. London: John Wiley & Sons.
[27] Neuhauser, L., Kreps, G. L., Morrison, K., Athanasoulis, M., Kirienko, N., & Van Brunt, D. (2013). Using design science and artificial intelligence to improve health communication: Chronology MD case example. Patient Education and Counseling, 92(2), 211-217. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pec.2013.04.006
[28] Norman, D. A. (2017). Design, Business Models, and Human-Technology Teamwork. ResearchTechnology Management, 60(1), 26-30. doi:10.1080/08956308.2017.1255051
[29] Raftopoulos, M., and Hamari, J. (2023). "Artificial intelligence in the workplace: implementation challenges and opportunities," in Proceedings of the Americas Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS) 2023. Cancún: AMCIS.
[30] Stephanidis, C., Salvendy, G., Antona, M., Chen, J. Y. C., Dong, J., Duffy, V. G., et al. (2019). Seven HCI grand challenges. Int. J. Hum. Comput. Int. 35, 1229–1269. doi: 10.1080/10447318.2019.1619259
[31] Pee, L. G., Pan, S. L., & Cui, L. (2019). Artificial intelligence in healthcare robots: A social informatics study of knowledge embodiment. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 70(4), 351-369. doi:10.1002/asi.24145
[32] Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2009). Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness: Penguin Publishing Group.
[33] Waller, S., Bradley, M., Hosking, I., & Clarkson, P. J. (2015). Making the case for inclusive design. Applied Ergonomics, 46, 297-303. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2013.03.012
[34] Winograd, T. (2006). Shifting viewpoints: Artificial intelligence and human–computer interaction. Artificial Intelligence, 170(18), 1256-1258. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artint.2006.10.011
[35] Xu, W., Dainoff, M. J., Ge, L., and Gao, Z. (2023). Transitioning to human interaction with ai systems: new challenges and opportunities for HCI professionals to enable human-centered AI. Int. J. Hum. Comput. Int. 39, 494–518. doi: 10.1080/10447318.2022.2041900